

TRIPLE 3 BUILDERS, LLC

March 3, 2010


Mr. Steve Messer
CT Department of Health
Drinking Water Section
MS51WAT
410 Capitol Avenue
Hartford, CT 06104

RECEIVED
DRINKING WATER SECTION
2010 MAR 11 P 1:18


Dear Mr. Messer:

Triple 3 Builders, LLC and Laurel View Associates, LLC respectfully requests to be placed on the agenda for the next Water and Utility Coordinating Committee (WUCC) meeting to discuss what options and remedies we have available to us regarding our application for a Community Water System for Laurel Ridge & View Estates at 309 and 319 Kent Road in New Milford, CT. We were told using United Water Company was the only option we have to apply for a Community Water System and because of that we have experienced numerous delays, misunderstandings, omissions, negligence and unwarranted requests at a substantial cost over the last five plus years. Enclosed please find the original letter dated May 5, 2004 starting this process and the two most recent letters, one from United Water Company and the response from our attorney that provides an insight into the history regarding this application. Please notify us if any additional information or action is needed. Thank you.

Sincerely:



Christopher Mathews
Managing member



John S. Mathews
Member

Cc: Suzanna Fridman – DPUC
Attorney Ted D. Backer
Attorney Jeff Sienkiewicz
Steve Sullivan – CCA, LLC

United Water



United Water Connecticut
110 Kent Road
New Milford, CT 06776-3400
telephone 860-354-4118
facsimile 860-355-4788
kevin.moran@unitedwater.com

May 5, 2004

Mr. Scott Mathews
Laurel Ridge Associates
309 Kent Road
New Milford, CT 06776

SUBJECT: 309 Kent Road, New Milford, CT
Satellite Water System – Laurel View Estates

Dear Mr. Mathews:

Per your request United Water Connecticut (UWCT) wishes to confirm that it is willing and able to supply water service to the above project subject to, but not limited to, the following conditions:

- Water of suitable quantity and quality to supply the proposed satellite water system must be available to meet the requirements of the Connecticut Department of Public Health (DPH).
- All approvals needed for the subject water system, including DPH construction design approval, must be obtained prior to construction.
- All of the project's service requirements must be clearly made known and agreed to before entering into a satellite system construction agreement.
- The developer must advance to UWCT an initial advance to cover preliminary engineering and design as well as the full amount of the estimated construction cost of the proposed satellite water system prior to project start-up.

Additional terms and conditions will be contained in the Advance Refundable Agreement Plan

We look forward to working with you on this project.


Sincerely,

Kevin Moran,
Manager

KM:hs

c. Mike Crespan, Director of Health
Gary Lord
Don Distante, P.E. (via e-mail)

RECEIVED
DRINKING WATER SECTION
2004 MAR 11 P 1:18



January 27, 2010

Mr. Christopher V. Mathews
Triple 3 Builders, LLC &
Laurel View Associates, LLC
333 Kent Road
New Milford, CT 06776

**Re: United Water Connecticut Inc.
Laurel View Associates, LLC
319 Kent Road, New Milford**

RECEIVED
DRINKING WATER SECTION
2010 MAR 11 P 1:18

Gentlemen:

On December 24, 2009, Triple 3 Builders, LLC sent a termination letter (hereinafter termination letter) to United Water Connecticut Inc. (United Water) with respect to United Water's involvement regarding the partially constructed water system proposed to serve Laurel View Estates Condominium Development situated upon 5.290 acres on map entitled: "Zoning Location Survey Prepared for John Scott Mathews U.S. Route 7 Aug. 10, 2007". The termination letter stated that "Triple 3 Builders LLC will no longer need the services of United Water to complete the approval process of the Laurel Ridge/View Water System." Prior to the termination letter, United Water and Laurel View Associates, LLC had entered into Agreement dated April 17, 2009, entitled Advance Payment Agreement II. United Water notes for information that the Advance Payment Agreement II, dated April 17, 2009, was executed by Laurel View Associates, LLC, and by Triple 3 Builders, LLC, John Scott Mathews and Christopher V. Mathews (members). The termination letter to United Water was sent by Christopher Mathews, Managing Member of Triple 3 Builders, LLC.

That Advance Payment Agreement II required United Water to retain CCA, LLC, pursuant to the Engineering Consulting Agreement with CCA, LLC, attached to the April 17, 2009 Advance Payment Agreement, to design the domestic water pump station and water storage tank for the Laurel View Estates Condominium Development. Paragraph 2 of the Agreement states, "the Applicant will advance the Company,... Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00) deemed to be necessary as the estimate to design the subject Facilities to the satisfaction of the Company which amount the Company estimates to be the cost of design of said Facilities, including any overhead cost to the Company such as legal expenses."

The Agreement continues:

“With respect to this Advance Payment Phase II Agreement, the Applicant hereby agrees to pay over to the Company the full amount set forth above at the time of signing this Agreement. If the actual cost of the Design Work and related work exceeds the estimate, then the Applicant will advance to the Company the difference within 30 days of receipt of notification.”

CCA Invoices, as set forth below, for Work to Date pursuant to Advance Payment Agreement II total \$29,238.25:

<u>Invoice No.</u>	<u>Amount</u>
10214	\$ 6,523.75
10348	\$ 1,205.00
10462	\$ 1,932.50
10560	\$ 7,162.50
10656	\$ 193.75
10764	\$ 5,920.50
10899	\$ 6,300.25

In response to that December 24, 2009 Triple 3 Builders' termination letter, United Water, on or about December 29, 2009, United Water requested the civil engineering firm, CCA, LLC, to stop work on the pump station and water tank design, for the hereinabove condominium project which engineering design work was being done by CCA pursuant to Advance Payment Agreement II.

Please be advised by this letter United Water is requesting \$12,220.75 for payment of outstanding CCA invoices for work undertaken for the design of the pump station and tank prior to United Water's receipt of the December 24th termination letter from Triple 3 Builders, LLC. The amount consists of an outstanding invoice of \$5,290.00, per CCA Invoice No. 10764, and \$6,300.25 for work completed up to December 29, 2009 when CCA, on United Water's instructions upon receiving your letter dated December 24, 2009, stopped work based upon your termination.

Therefore, the amount outstanding to CCA is \$12,220.75 and United Water hereby requests a check in that amount be forwarded to United Water to pay CCA, LLC.

For information purposes only, CCA has advised United Water that as far as the proposed design for future additions to pump house to accommodate a uranium remediation system, CCA estimates their design costs are an additional \$6,000.00. However, if Triple 3 Builders were to obtain local zoning approval for the "addition", the cost is estimated at \$4,800.00. This is to confirm that United Water has not authorized that work.

Further, as the Advance Payment Agreement II provides, United Water is entitled to legal expenses and overhead, a portion of which have already been paid as part of the \$30,000.00 payment referred to hereinabove. United reserves the right to collect the balance of the funds it is owed under Advance Payment Agreement II for overhead and legal costs up to the date of the December 24, 2009 termination letter.

With respect to the basis of your recession, as to "inability to complete services in a timely manner, and mishandling of funds", United Water respectfully but vigorously disagrees.

As indicated with respect to the Agreement dated April 17, 2009, CCA, LLC, the civil engineer hired by United was retained specifically at Triple 3's request and direction because CCA was the civil engineer on the Laurel View Condominium Project.

By June 30, 2009, CCA had spent 24 hours working on the project (see Invoice 10214). In July, CCA spent another nine hours. In August, they spent another 13.5 hours. In September, additional 7.6 hours were spent in addition to work done by outside consultant CT Consulting Engineers, P.C. In September, only one hour was spent on the project. In October and November, 13 hours were spent by CCA, including time spent for modifying attic space for radon removal system (which all parties agreed was appropriate), and pump station report for DPUC Phase II. In December, 12.75 hours were also spent on engineering related matters and CAD work (see attached Invoices Nos. 10764, 10656, 10560, 10461, 10348, 10214 and 10899).

United Water must point out that based on the radon and uranium levels in the water, for the prospective public health protection of the residents of the Laurel Ridge units, the water system and, therefore, the pump station design, should have the capacity for radon treatment (which all parties, including Triple 3, agrees) and, in the event the uranium levels in the water from the wells on the Laurel View Condominium site went above the governmental treatment standard for uranium, there should be an expansion area designed on the site plan within the pump house structure and approved by the local zoning officials so that the expansion of the pump house could be subsequently constructed and the uranium treatment system could be installed promptly.

Your letter states:

"Triple 3 Builders LLC will continue on to seek approvals independently until otherwise advised by the State of Connecticut Department of Health (DPH) and Public Utilities Commission. Triple 3 Builders LLC will be working directly with DPH and DPUC without participation of United Water. Once approvals are achieved and construction is completed the water system will be offered at proper compensation to Triple 3 Builders LLC."

Please be advised, that the Connecticut Legislature has amended Connecticut General Statute §12-262m.

The Statute states:

“an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity shall be on a form prescribed by the Department of Public Utility Control...and accompanied by a copy of the applicant’s construction...plans...and when an exclusive service area provider has been determined pursuant to Section 25-33g a copy of the signed ownership agreement between the applicant and provider for the exclusive service area...detailing those terms and conditions under which the system will be constructed...and for which the provider will assume service and ownership responsibilities”.

The new 16-262m amendment set forth in Public Act 09-220 continues:

When an exclusive service area provider has been determined pursuant to section 25-33g, the application shall also be accompanied by a written confirmation from the exclusive service area provider, as the person that will own the water supply system, that such exclusive service area provider has received the application and is prepared to assume responsibility for the water supply system subject to the terms and conditions of the ownership agreement. Written confirmation from the exclusive service area provider shall be on a form prescribed by said department.

This is to confirm that United Water has not executed a “written confirmation” as required by statute to assume responsibility for the Laurel Ridge Water Supply System (subject to conditions of an ownership agreement and conveyance of proper easements, including sanitary radius easements) and further has not entered into any ownership Agreement with Laurel View Associates, LLC and /or Triple 3 Builders, LLC.

For information, the Advance Payment Agreement II dated April 17, 2009 which was terminated by Triple 3 letter dated December 24, 2009, contemplated, among other matters, a framework towards acceptance of the Water Supply System, assuming the Design was approved by United Water. The Agreement at Paragraph 5A stated:

“Once the Laurel View Satellite Water System is completed, approved and permitted by appropriate governmental agencies and accepted by United Water Connecticut Inc. (and conveyed to it by document agreeable to Applicant and Company for no additional consideration) it is understood and agreed that the Company will supply and the Applicant will take water from said Water System...”

Also please note in response to your December 24, 2009 letter that states that the Water System “once constructed” will be offered to United Water for proper compensation, United Water does not pay compensation to developers for newly constructed satellite water systems constructed by the developer to serve a new real estate development. For information, pursuant to Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control decisions and long standing practice, the existing United Water customer base is not permitted to expend water utility funds to pay for acquisitions with respect to developer funded new satellite systems.


If you would like to revisit the Advance Payment Agreement II that was terminated by your letter on December 24, 2009, United Water would welcome a discussion of this matter subject to payment of the funds that are due CCA for its design work. Furthermore, a written Rider to the Advance Payment Agreement II would be required to be executed by the parties indicating among other provisions your agreement to reinstate the Advance Payment Agreement II dated April 17, 2009, and realistic dates for conclusion of the Design Phase and future payment of appropriate charges, including CCA design work charges to complete the pump station and water tank design.

Also, if you require time to pay the CCA charges, please let us know.

Very truly yours,

UNITED WATER CONNECTICUT INC.

By



Steven Houst, Its Manager

cc: Suzanna Fridman – DPUC
Cindy Sek – DPH
Steve Sullivan – CCA, LLC
Attorney Ted D. Backer
Attorney Roy Krueger

February 18, 2010

Mr. Steven Houst, Manager
United Water Connecticut, Inc.
110 Kent Road
New Milford, CT 06776

Re: Advance Payment Agreement II, Laurel View Associates, Inc.

Dear Mr. Houst,

As you may know, this office represents Laurel View Associates, Inc. and Triple Three Builders, LLC in connection with the development of up to 40 housing units and related improvements on properties located at 309 and 319 Kent Road, New Milford. Laurel View Associates and Triple Three Builders have asked me to respond to your letter dated January 27, 2010.

My clients position is that United Water Connecticut is in breach of its contractual obligations under the Advance Payment Agreement II. It is also their position that United Water Connecticut is in breach of its obligations as an exclusive service provider under Section 25-33h-1(k)(2) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies. The consequential damages to my clients are potentially enormous; and it would be in the best interests of all concerned, including United Water Connecticut, to move forward to insure that the water system is completed without further delay.

In 2004, United Water Connecticut indicated that it was willing and able to supply water service for the Laurel View and Laurel Ridge developments. In March, 2005, Laurel Ridge Associates, LLC paid United Water Connecticut the sum of \$10,000 for preliminary engineering and design. In August, 2005, United Water Connecticut provided my clients with the design data for the water system prepared by its engineering consultant, Roald Haestad, Inc. The design data included a list of "required" items, including the required size of an atmospheric storage tank and the required capacity of a required booster pump. Neither United Water Connecticut nor Roald Haestad, Inc. specified any requirement for radon treatment or for uranium removal. Moreover, based on test results from the water supply wells, I understand that the Department of Health does not presently require such treatment.

By 2009, a dispute existed regarding the performance and excessive costs incurred by United Water Connecticut in connection with the installation of approximately 1,220 feet of 6"

waterline pursuant to a Refundable Advance Payment Agreement, dated October 11, 2007. Despite the existence of that dispute, the parties resolved to temporarily set aside their differences and move the project forward. Laurel View agreed that it would complete the CPCN Phase I-A and Phase I-B application process. United Water Connecticut agreed that it would design the pump station and water storage tank and put that project out to bid. United Water Connecticut's agreement with respect to the pump station and water storage tank is reflected in the Advance Payment Agreement II, Laurel View Associates, LLC dated April 17, 2009.

Under the terms of the Advance Payment Agreement II, United Water Connecticut agreed to proceed with the design of the pump station and water storage tank with "due diligence" (Paragraph 4). When the terms of the agreement were being negotiated, Mr. Moran represented that the design work should be completed within not more than 60 to 90 days of the execution of the Agreement.

The Advance Payment Agreement also provides that the scope of the facility design "may not be changed except by a contract, in writing, signed by the parties" (Paragraph 13). The Agreement makes no mention of designing for radon treatment or for uranium treatment facilities - and that work was never mentioned by United Water Connecticut during the discussions leading up to the execution of the Agreement. The Advance Payment Agreement includes the scope of services (Schedule A) to be completed pursuant to the Agreement. The fee quoted by CCA, LLC for this work is \$26,000. The price did not include designing for radon removal or designing for uranium removal.

You indicate that CCA, LLC has billed United Water Connecticut a total of \$29,238.25; and for the first time provide the invoices for this work. On the face of CCA's invoices, it appears that invoices 10214 and 10348, totaling \$7,728.75, relate to the design work called for by the Advance Payment Agreement II. From the invoices, however, it also appears that substantially all of the remaining work performed by CCA, LLC was related to modifications to the original design to provide first, for radon removal and later for uranium removal. This work was well beyond the scope of the work contemplated by the Advance Payment Agreement II and is not an expense for which my clients are liable. I also note that CCA's original \$26,000 price for design services includes substantially more work that has been concluded to date - specifically the work covered by steps 4 through 12 set forth on Schedule A.

You point out the language in Paragraph 2 that states that the design must be "to the satisfaction of the Company". It is my clients' position that this language does not authorize United Water Connecticut to unilaterally change the scope of the design criteria by adding requirements for radon treatment and uranium treatment. Paragraph 2 states that the cost of the engineering consulting and design services provided by CCA are "limited to those set forth in Schedule A and Schedule B attached hereto."

In addition, the completion of the design and submission of the Phase II application has been delayed by United Water Connecticut for at least six months. This constitutes a breach of United Water Connecticut's obligation to pursue the design of the pump station and water storage tank with "due diligence".

By your letter, you appear to indicate that United Water Connecticut will not execute an written confirmation that it has received a copy of the Phase II application and that it is prepared to assume responsibility for the water system once it is completed. My clients accept the fact that United Water Connecticut will not purchase the water system, and that Mr. Mathew's letter of December 24th stating that the water system will be offered "for proper compensation" was ill advised. My clients, however, are not willing to compensate United Water Connecticut for work or expenses that exceed their original contractual obligation. Nor are they willing to tolerate the delays caused by United Water Connecticut with respect to the pump station and storage tank design.

Section 25-33h-1(k)(2) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies requires United Water Connecticut to provide water service to consumers within a reasonable time frame of a request. In May, it will be five (5) years since United Water Connecticut first agreed to provide such service. United Water Connecticut's refusal to cooperate in securing such service because of the existing dispute regarding United Water Connecticut's performance under the Advance Payment Agreement II is in bad faith and in violation of its statutory and regulatory duties as the exclusive service provider for New Milford.

You state that discussions to resolve this dispute are contingent on my client's first paying United Water Connecticut the sum of \$12,220.75 to satisfy invoices 10764 and 10899. That demand is unacceptable as it will require my clients to pay a total of \$42,220.75 on CCA bills totaling only \$29,238.25. It is also unacceptable because a substantial portion of that expense was unilaterally incurred by United Water Connecticut for work that is outside the scope of Advance Payment Agreement II.

Notwithstanding the forgoing, my clients are willing to meet with United Water Connecticut to try to resolve these issues prior to filing suit. They remain willing to work with United Water Connecticut to reach an acceptable and reasonable compromise. If you wish to pursue this option, please have your counsel contact me immediately to set up a meeting. To facilitate any discussion, it would be helpful for CCA, LLC to provide more specificity as to what portions of its bills are attributable to the scope of work called for by the Advance Payment Agreement II and what portions are attributable to changes in the scope of work related to radon treatment and uranium treatment.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey B. Sienkiewicz

JBS/jbs

pab\land use\ laurel ridge\Hough #1

cc: Ted D. Backer, Esq.
Christopher V. Mathews

TRIPLE 3 BUILDERS, LLC


May 17, 2010

Ron Black
Water System Solutions & Design
740 Thomaston Road
Watertown, CT 06795

Dear Ron:

We are working on a 40 unit Active Adult Community at 309 and 319 Kent Road known as LAUREL RIDGE & VIEW ESTATES and would like to know if you are willing and able to manage and operate the satellite water system for the project. Thank you.

Sincerely,



John Scott Mathews, member



Christopher Mathews, managing member

WATER SYSTEM SOLUTIONS & DESIGN, INC.

740 Thomaston Road
P. O. Box 180
Watertown, CT 06795

Tel: 860-274-8853
Fax: 860-274-9648
watersysol@msr.com

May 17, 2010

John Scott Matthews
Triple 3 Builders, LLC
333 Kent Road
New Milford, CT 06776

RE: Proposed water system at Laurel Ridge & View Estates, New Milford


Dear Mr. Matthews,

Per your letter dated this day, Water System Solutions & Design, Inc. is willing and able to operate and manage the proposed satellite public water system located at 309 and 319 Kent Road, New Milford, CT.

Our firm presently acts as the operations firm for over 50 DEP-regulated public community and non-community water systems - see attached information.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if we can be of service to you.

Sincerely,



Ron W. Black
President
Class II DPW Certified Operator

WATER SYSTEM SOLUTIONS & DESIGN, INC.

740 Thomaston Road
P. O. Box 180
Watertown, CT. 06795

Tel: 860-274-8853
Fax: 860-274-9648
watersysol@msn.com

To: Clients of Water System Solutions & Design, Inc.
From: Ron Black, President/Owner
Re: **Description of Services**

Throughout the years, we continue to initiate changes and improvements to our firm. The many years of experience we have in working with the DPH, DPUC and other regulatory agencies, as well as having designed, built and serviced hundreds of public water supply systems over the past many decades, have given us the knowledge and expertise required to diagnose and resolve the ever-increasing and complex problems and issues presently facing owners and operators of regulated public water systems. As a complete water system design-build-operations firm, we offer the following high-end services:

Design ***Design services for all phases of small public water supply system construction and renovation:***

- DPH General Application preparation and submittal for all levels of water system projects.
- DPUC-DPH Certificate Process preparation and submittal for new or renovated water systems – Phase 1A, 1B and 2.
- DPH Consent Order engineering studies and submittals for compliance with Consent Order requirements.
- New Community and Non-Community water system design and approvals from start to finish.
- Complete electrical control system retrofits and replacements for old pump stations components.
- Water Treatment systems (high-end chlorination and corrosion control systems) for DPH compliance.

Build ***Complete DPH-approved water supply systems and their components, new and existing:***

- Wells and well equipment, well yield and water quality testing, submittals and approvals from DPH.
- Water storage and hydropneumatic tanks – replaced or installed new, including DPH submittals.
- Booster pumping stations – complete and installed, using the latest in pumping design and technology.
- Electrical control and alarm systems for the well and booster systems.
- Piping, mechanical, electrical control and alarm monitoring systems for new and existing water systems.
- High-end chlorination and corrosion control treatment and monitoring systems.

Operations ***Expert routine maintenance operations of select DPH-regulated public water systems, including:***

- Well and Booster pumps, systems and stations – repairs and installations.
- Chlorination and pH Treatment systems – repairs and installations.
- Water storage and pressure tank replacement, installation, inspection and cleaning.
- Repairs and Installations of Water System electrical control and alarm systems.

Consulting ***Decades of experience and working successfully with the State of CT DPH and DPUC allow us to offer expert and accurate consulting pertaining to your water system:***

- DPH compliance issues and plant improvements and retrofits.
- DPH violation resolution and avoidance through proactive repairs and renovations.
- DPH and DPUC regulatory matters and communications including water system renovations and design.
- DPH General Application preparation and submittal for regulated projects and water system work.

Certifications:

- State of CT DPH Class I and II Distribution Plant and Treatment Plant Operators
- State of CT DPH Certified Cross Connection Inspector and Backflow Device Tester
- State of CT Licensed and Insured J1 Water Systems Contractor
- Member AWWA, CWWA, NEWWA, Housatonic Valley WUCC
- Ownership of 14 small public water systems, operations of over 50 more.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if we may be of service to you.

Ron Black
President

WATER SYSTEM SOLUTIONS & DESIGN, INC.

740 Thomaston Road
P. O. Box 180
Watertown, CT. 06795

Tel: 860-274-8853
Fax: 860-274-9648
ronwblack@msn.com

2009

TO: Water System Owner / Developer
FROM: Ron Black, President and Owner
RE: Design / Consulting / Build of DPH-Regulated Small Water Systems

Dear Owner:

Our firm has had extensive experience in the design, design-build and operations phases of both existing system renovations, and new systems from design through completion, particularly when it comes to non-community systems. Our experience with both the DPH and DPUC regulatory process and personnel continue to allow us to move projects through from design, well installation and testing if required, construction and final approvals, activation and operations.

Some of the DPH-regulated water systems we have recently designed, built and/or and steered through DPH and DPUC:

- Towne Brooke Commons, Brookfield (design-build new Community water system)
- TNT Enterprises, Newtown (design-build-operate new NTNC water system)
- Shaw's East Hampton Shopping Plaza (design – operations new NTNC water system)
- Raymour & Flanigan Plaza, Brookfield (design-build-operate new NTNC water system)
- Winvian, CT (design – operations 2 new NTNC water systems)
- Millbrook School, NY (design-build new pumping station for school campus)
- Mayflower Inn and Spa, Washington (design-build-operate 2 new NTNC water systems)
- Mansfield Public Schools (design 4 new NTNC water systems for public schools)
- Region 6 School District (design 3 new NTNC water systems for public schools)
- Salisbury School (design for new school campus water system)
- Greenridge Tax District, Brookfield (design-build new pumping station/system for 250 homes)

The regulatory and approval processes at the state level for new and renovations design, building, operations and management of DPH-regulated small water utilities has become more complex, cumbersome and detailed over the past few years, and is now reaching a new level with the December 1, 2009 effective date for the Groundwater Rule...while at the same time increasing the responsibilities and liability exposure for the designers, builders, operators and owners alike. Our in-house designs (working in concert with the owner's site engineering firm as needed) meet and exceed all DPH and DPUC standards and requirements; our installations and Operations are extremely cost-effective as well - we typically take systems from the beginning to the end, inclusive of design, submittals, construction and operations

Costs for our engineering/design/consulting as required to successfully move a project through the State of CT DPH and DPUC process and ultimate State approvals vary depending on the amount of involvement required. You are billed in stages of the process - General Application and Phase 1A, Well Site application, Phase 1B, Phase 2, consulting and construction, etc.

Please don't hesitate to contact us if we may be of assistance to you.

Sincerely,



Ron Black
President